arXiv:1612.05534v2 [math.CO] 20 Dec 2016

FUNDAMENTAL POLYTOPES OF METRIC TREES VIA HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS

EMANUELE DELUCCHI AND LINARD HOESSLY

Abstract. We tackle the problem of a combinatorial classification of finite metric spaces via their *fundamental polytopes*, suggested by Vershik in 2010 [11].

In this paper we define a hyperplane arrangement associated to every split pseudometric and we use the combinatorics of its underlying matroid in order to compute the face numbers of fundamental polytopes and Lipschitz polytopes of tree-like metrics. We then develop some tools allowing us to apply our formulas to specific cases, and we briefly discuss the potential of our model beyond tree-like metrics.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Polytopes associated to metric spaces.** The study of *fundamental polytopes* of finite metric spaces was proposed by Vershik [11] as an approach to classifying metric spaces by means of combinatorial properties of polytopes, motivated by its connections to the transportation problem. Indeed, the Kantorovich-Rubinstein norm associated to the finite case of the transportation problem is an extension (uniquely determined by some conditions) of the Minkowski-Banach norm associated to the fundamental polytope (see [7, Theorem 1] for details). The polar dual of the fundamental polytope affords a more direct description: it consists of all real-valued functions with Lipschitz constant 1, and it is called *Lipschitz polytope*.

As polar duality preserves all combinatorial data, the combinatorial classification of Lipschitz polytopes is equivalent to that of fundamental polytopes.

Very little is known to date about the combinatorics of these polytopes, aside from the aforementioned work of Vershik. For instance, in general their f-vectors[1] are unknown. Gordon and Petrov [5] gave bounds for the number of possible different f-vectors given the size of the metric space. The same authors also examined "generic metric spaces"[2], computing their f-vectors (which, in this class, only depend on the number of elements in the space).

In this paper we compute the f-vectors of Lipschitz polytopes for all tree-like pseudometric spaces. More generally, to every split-decomposable pseudometric space we associate a combinatorial object (a matroid) which, in the case of tree-like pseudometrics, allows us to give formulas for the face numbers of the corresponding polytopes.

[1]The f-*vector* of a polytope (or of any polyhedral complex) is the list of integers encoding the number of faces of each dimension.

[2]A metric space is called *generic* in [5] if the triangle inequality is always strict and its fundamental polytope is simplicial.

1.2. **Tree-like metrics.** Metric subspaces of metric trees (see Definition 2.9) represent a class of spaces that is important and well-studied in pure mathematics as well as in applications, for instance in phylogenetics [9]. Indeed, phylogenetic analysis is often based on distances between taxa which are calculated through comparison of DNA sequences. The finite metric space thus obtained is, by its very nature, a so-called "split-decomposable metric space" (see Definition 2.15). The main biological assumption is that genes develop according to trees and only split locally, which leads to *tree-like* metrics (Definition 2.14). On the other hand there is also horizontal gene transfer, which is relevant in the context of evolution of bacteria and leads to non-tree-like split metrics, which have been in the focus of a substantial amount of research — both from a theoretical point of view (e.g., by Buneman [2] and Bandelt-Dress [1]) as well as in view of their applications in phylogenetics (see [4, 9] for an overview and [6] for a computational approach) — but are in general less understood than tree-like metrics. One of the points of interest in studying combinatorial invariants of general split-decomposable metrics derives from the quest for a natural "stratification" of the space of such metrics, e.g., in order to set up statistical tests.

1.3. **Arrangements of hyperplanes and matroids.** We call "arrangement of hyperplanes" a finite set of hyperplanes (i.e., linear codimension 1 subspaces) of a real vectorspace and refer to Section 2.3 for some basics about these well-studied objects. Here we only point out that the enumerative combinatorics of such an arrangement is governed by the associated *matroid*, an abstract combinatorial object encoding the intersection pattern of the hyperplanes (see Remark 2.12).

In particular, such an arrangement subdivides the unit sphere into a polyhedral complex $\mathsf{K}_{\mathscr{A}}$ which is "combinatorially dual" (see Remark 2.19) to the zonotopes arising as Minkowski sum of any choice of normal vectors for the hyperplanes. The enumeration of the faces of these polyhedral complexes (or zonotopes) in terms of the arrangement's matroid, due to Thomas Zaslavsky [13], has been one of the earliest successful applications of matroid theory.

1.4. **Structure of this paper and main results.** We start Section 2 by stating the main definitions and some results about polytopes associated to metric spaces, tree-like metrics, arrangements of hyperplanes and systems of splits.

(1) We define, for every system of splits $\mathcal{S}$, an arrangement of hyperplanes $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})$ with one hyperplane for each split of $\mathcal{S}$ – and, thus, a matroid with one element for every split (Definition 2.18).

By the decomposition theorem of Bandelt and Dress [1, Theorem 2], every "split–decomposable metric space" gives rise to a unique system of splits.

(2) We show that the fundamental polytope of any tree-like finite pseudometric space is combinatorially isomorphic to the complex $\mathsf{K}_{\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the unique system of splits in the Bandelt-Dress decomposition of the given space. We do this by showing that the Lipschitz polytope of such spaces is the zonotope defined as the Minkowski sum of a certain choice of normal vectors for the hyperplanes of $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})$ (Theorem 3.5).

(3) Using a theorem of Zaslavsky, then, we give precise formulas for the face numbers of the fundamental polytope and the Lipschitz polytope of tree-like pseudometric spaces (Theorem 3.6).

Our formulas are exact, but they require knowledge of the arrangement's matroid. We describe how this can be computed, and for specific examples one can use to this end some widely available software (e.g., SAGE's matroid package). However, one would like to give general explicit formulas, at least for some class of tree metrics.

(4) We give a tool that allows to compute the intersection poset of $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})$ (and more precisely the closure operator of the associated matroid) from the combinatorics of the split system (Theorem 4.4).
(5) As an illustration, we close by applying our technique in order to derive explicit formulas for f-numbers of some classes of tree-like metrics.

1.5. **Beyond tree metrics.** The arrangement $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})$ can be defined for all split-decomposable metrics: in this sense, our construction provides a combinatorial stratification of the space of split-decomposable metrics. The stratification of the subset of tree metrics coincides with that given by the combinatorial type of the fundamental polytope, but we believe that also outside the space of tree metrics (e.g., for circular split systems) the matroid- and polytope-stratifications are related. For instance, from the matroid one might be able to recover at least some bounds for the face numbers of the fundamental polytope. We leave these questions for future study.

1.6. **Acknowledgements.** Both authors are supported by the first author's Swiss National Science Foundation Professorship grant PP00P2_150552/1. We acknowledge the friendly hospitality of the department of Mathematics at the University of Fribourg. We thank Andreas Dress for a friendly e-mail exchange and Yaokun Wu for discussing an announcement of his joint work with Zeying Xu on metric spaces with zonotopal Lipschitz polytopes, as well as for pointing out [12].

2. The main characters

2.1. Metric spaces and their polytopes.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a set. A *metric* on X is a symmetric function $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ with the following properties.

(1) For all $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y) = 0$ implies $x = y$.
(2) For all $x, y, z \in X$, $d(x, y) + d(y, x) \geqslant d(x, z)$ ("triangle inequality").

If requirement (1) is dropped, then d is called a *pseudometric*.

The pair (X, d) is then called a metric space (resp. pseudometric space).

Remark 2.2. In this paper we will focus on *finite* metric spaces, i.e., metric spaces (X, d) where $|X| < \infty$. We will tacitly assume so throughout.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a (finite) metric space. Consider the vectorspace $\mathbb{R}^X$ with its standard basis $\{\mathbb{1}_k\}_{k \in X}$, i.e.,

$$(\mathbb{1}_k)_i := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Following [11] we define the *fundamental polytope* of (X, d) as

$$P_d(X) := \text{conv}\{e_{i,j} \mid i, j \in X,\ i \neq j\},$$

where

$$e_{i,j} := \frac{\mathbb{1}_i - \mathbb{1}_j}{d(i,j)}.$$

This polytope is contained (and full-dimensional) in the subspace

$$V_0(X) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^X \mid \textstyle\sum_i x_i = 0\}.$$

Definition 2.4. Let (X, d) be a (finite) pseudometric space.

The *Lipschitz polytope* of (X, d) is given as an intersection of halfspaces as

$$\text{LIP}(X, d) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^X \mid \textstyle\sum_i x_i = 0,\ x_i - x_j \leqslant d(i,j)\ \forall i, j \in X \right\}. \tag{1}$$

This polytope is contained and full-dimensional in the subspace

$$V(X, d) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^X \mid \textstyle\sum_i x_i = 0,\ x_i = x_j \text{ whenever } d(i,j) = 0\}$$

Remark 2.5 (On Lipschitz polytopes). For metric spaces our definition specializes to the standard definition of the Lipschitz polytope, e.g., as given in [11]. We remark that, although related, this is not the set of Lipschitz functions considered in the work of Wu, Xu and Zhu on graph indexed random walks [12].

Remark 2.6 (On polytopes). We point the reader to the book by Ziegler [14] for terminology and basic facts about polytopes and fans. Here let us only mention that the combinatorics of a given polytope P is encoded in its *poset of faces* $\mathscr{F}(P)$ which here we take to be the set of all faces of P ordered by inclusion (where we include the empty face). A rougher, but very important enumerative invariant of a polytope are its *face numbers* $f_0^P, \ldots, f_{\dim(P)}^P$, where

$$f_i^P = |\{i-\text{dimensional faces of } P\}|.$$

It is customary to consider the empty face as a face of "dimension -1", thus to write $f_{-1}^P = 1$ and to fit these numbers into the *f-polynomial* of P, defined as

$$f^P(t) := f_{-1}^P t^{m+1} + f_0^P t^m + \ldots + f_m^P$$

where we write $m := \dim(P)$.

The problem posed by Vershik [11] is to study the face numbers and face structure of the fundamental polytope of a metric space. We will do so by focussing on the associated Lipschitz polytope, whose combinatorics is "dual" to that of the fundamental polytope in the following precise sense.

Remark 2.7. A look at Theorem 2.11.(vi) of [14] shows that indeed, for every *metric* space (X, d) the polytopes $P_d(X)$ and $\text{LIP}(X, d)$ are *polar dual* to each other (with respect to the ambient space $V_0(X)$, cf. [14, Definition 2.10]). Polar duality induces an isomorphism of posets

$$\mathscr{F}(P_d(X)) \cong \mathscr{F}(\text{LIP}(X, d))^{op}$$

and in particular $f_i^{P_d(X)} = f_{m-1-i}^{\text{LIP}(X,d)}$, i.e.,

$$f^{\text{LIP}(X,d)}(t) = t^{m+1} f^{P_d(X)}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$$

where again we write $m := \dim(P_d(X))$.

2.2. **Metric spaces associated to graphs.** In this paper a graph is always an abstract pair of sets (a set of vertices and a set of edges). We assume familiarity with the basics of graph theory, and point to any graph theory textbook (e.g., [3]) as a reference.

Example 2.8 (Metric spaces from weighted graphs). Given a finite graph G with no loops and no parallel edges write $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ for the set of vertices, resp. edges of G. A *weighting* of G is any function $w : E(G) \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and the pair (G, w) is called a *weighted graph*. Then, setting

$$d_w(v, v') := \min\left\{w(e_1) + \ldots + w(e_k) \mid e_1, \ldots, e_k \text{ an edge-path joining } v \text{ with } v'\right\}$$

the pair $(V(G), d_w)$ is a metric space.

We now introduce a class of metric spaces that are related to graphs in a subtler manner. Recall that a *tree* is a graph in which every pair of vertices is connected by a unique path.

Definition 2.9 (Tree-like metrics)**.** Let X be a finite set. An X-*tree* is a pair (T, ϕ), where T is a tree and $\phi : X \to V(T)$ is a map whose image contains every vertex of V that is incident to at most two edges, i.e., $\{v \in V(T) \mid \deg(v) \leqslant 2\} \subseteq \phi(X)$.

A (pseudo)metric d on a set X is called a *tree-like (pseudo)metric* if there exists an X-tree (T, ϕ) and a weighting w of T such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$d(x, y) = d_w(\phi(x), \phi(y)).$$

("d is induced by a weighted X-tree"). The pseudometric d is a metric if and only if ϕ is injective.

When ϕ is bijective, we call (X, d) a *full tree*.

2.3. **Arrangements of hyperplanes.** Let V denote a finite-dimensional real vectorspace, say of dimension m. A *hyperplane* in V is any linear subspace of codimension 1. An arrangement of hyperplanes (or, for short, *arrangement*) in V, is a finite set

$$\mathscr{A} := \{H_1, \ldots, H_n\}$$

of hyperplanes. Such an arrangement defines a polyhedral fan in V, and we let $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{A})$ denote the poset of all faces of this fan, partially ordered by inclusion.

As is customary, for every $i = 0, \ldots, m$ we let $f_i^{\mathscr{A}}$ be the number of faces of dimension i,

$$f_i^{\mathscr{A}} := |\{F \in \mathscr{F}(\mathscr{A}) \mid \dim(F) = i\}|$$

and we arrange these numbers into the f-*polynomial* of $\mathscr{A}$,

$$f^{\mathscr{A}}(t) := f_0^{\mathscr{A}} t^m + f_1^{\mathscr{A}} t^{m-1} + \ldots + f_m^{\mathscr{A}}.$$

Our next tool is a theorem of Zaslavsky which expresses the polynomial $f^{\mathscr{A}}(t)$ in terms of the intersection pattern of $\mathscr{A}$.

To this end, we introduce the *poset of intersections* of $\mathscr{A}$, i.e., the set

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A}) := \{\cap\mathscr{B} \mid \mathscr{B} \subseteq \mathscr{A}\}, \quad x \leqslant y \Leftrightarrow x \supseteq y$$

of all subspaces that arise as intersections of hyperplanes in $\mathscr{A}$, partially ordered by reverse inclusion. We note that, in particular, $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$ has a unique maximal

element (corresponding to $\cap\mathscr{A}$) and a unique minimal element (corresponding to V, the intersection over the empty set).

The poset $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$ is *ranked* by the function

$$\mathrm{rk}(x) := m - \dim(x).$$

and we define the *rank of* $\mathscr{A}$ to be $r = r(\mathscr{A}) := \mathrm{rk}(\cap\mathscr{A})$

We define the *Möbius polynomial* of $\mathscr{A}$ as

$$M_{\mathscr{A}}(u, v) := \sum_{x,y \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})} \mu(x, y) u^{\mathrm{rk}(x)} v^{r - \mathrm{rk}(y)} \tag{2}$$

where μ denotes the Möbius function of $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$ (see e.g. [10, (3.17)]).

Theorem 2.10 (Zaslavsky [13, Theorem A])**.**

$$f^{\mathscr{A}}(x) = (-1)^r M_{\mathscr{A}}(-x, -1).$$

The strength of this result comes at the price of computing the Möbius function of $\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})$. As we will see in Section 4.2, this can be a laborious and difficult task. One can still recover some of the information with less effort, through the *characteristic polynomial* of $\mathscr{A}$, defined as follows.

$$\chi_{\mathscr{A}}(t) := \sum_{\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathscr{A}} (-1)^{|\mathcal{K}|} t^{r - \mathrm{rk}(\cap\mathcal{K})}$$

Corollary 2.11 (Zaslavsky [13, part of Theorem A])**.**

$$f_m^{\mathscr{A}} = (-1)^r \chi_{\mathscr{A}}(-1)$$

Proof. Elementary manipulations show that indeed

$$\chi_{\mathscr{A}}(t) = \textstyle\sum_{x \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A})} \mu(V, x) t^{r - \mathrm{rk}(x)} = M_{\mathscr{A}}(0, t)$$

hence the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.10. □

Remark 2.12. The combinatorial structure lurking in the back of these considerations is the *matroid* of the arrangement $\mathscr{A}$. This is an abstract combinatorial object that encodes the structure of the poset $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{A})$ or, equivalently, the linear dependency relation among (any choice of) normal vectors of the hyperplanes. We will not formally introduce these objects because they are not strictly necessary for our considerations in this paper (except for a better understanding of the example in Section 4.2), and refer the interested reader e.g. to Oxley's textbook [8].

2.4. **Zonotopes.** Associated to every set of nonzero real vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ there is a polytope obtained as the Minkowski sum

$$Z(v_1, \ldots, v_k) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} [-1, 1] v_i$$

where $[-1, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ denotes the 1-dimensional unit cube (the Minkowski sum of subsets of a vectorspace is their "pointwise sum", see [14, §1.1] for a precise definition). Polytopes of this form are called *zonotopes*.

Strongly related to a zonotope is the arrangement of normal hyperplanes to the v_i, i.e., $\mathscr{A} := \{v_i^\perp \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$. For instance, one readily sees that

$$r = \mathrm{rk}(\cap\mathscr{A}) = \dim Z(v_1, \dots, v_k).$$

Moreover, we will make use of the well-known (see, e.g., [14, Corollary 7.18]) isomorphism of posets

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{A})^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathscr{F}(Z(v_1, \dots, v_k)) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$$

which in particular implies that the f-polynomials are related by the following equality.

$$f^{Z(v_1,\dots,v_k)}(t) - t^{r+1} = t^{2m-r} f^{\mathscr{A}}(\frac{1}{t}) \tag{3}$$

Remark 2.13. The hyperplane arrangement is invariant up to non-zero rescaling of the defining vectors. Thus, the poset of faces of the zonotope also does not change upon rescaling of the v_i.

2.5. **Split systems.** We now introduce a class of pseudometric spaces that has arisen from research in biology, and especially genomics. As a comprehensive reference and for more context on the applied side we point to [9], whose terminology we keep in order to avoid confusion.

Definition 2.14. Let X be a finite set. A *split* of X is a bipartition of X, i.e., a pair of nonempty and disjoint subsets $A, B \subseteq X$ (the *sides* of the split) such that $A \cup B = X$. Such a pair A, B will be written $A|B$. Clearly, $A|B$ and $B|A$ describe the same split. In fact, every split $\sigma = A|B$ corresponds to a nontrivial equivalence relation $\sim_\sigma$ on X, whose equivalence classes are A and B. Given a split σ and any element $i \in X$ we write $[i]_\sigma$ for the $\sim_\sigma$-equivalence class of i. Thus, to any split σ we can associate the indicator function δ_σ defined as

$$\delta_\sigma(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \sim_\sigma j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

A split σ is customarily called *trivial* if one of its sides is a singleton; Such an elementary split will be denoted in this case we will use the shorthand $\sigma = k|k^c$.

Two splits $A|B$ and $C|D$ are *compatible* if at least one of the sets

$$A \cap C, A \cap D, B \cap C, B \cap D$$

is empty.

A *system of splits* on X is just a set of splits of X; the system is called *compatible* if its elements are pairwise compatible.

Definition 2.15. A *weighted split system* is a pair $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ where $\mathcal{S}$ is a system of splits on X and $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0})^{\mathcal{S}}$ is any positive weighting. Any such weighted split system defines a symmetric non-negative function $d_\alpha : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ via

$$d_\alpha(x,y) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma(x,y)$$

where δ_σ is as in Equation (4). The functions of the form d_α are called *split-decomposable pseudometrics* associated to $\mathcal{S}$. In fact, the pair (X, d_α) is a pseudometric space. We will write

$$V(\mathcal{S}) := V(X, d_\alpha)$$

as this subspace clearly does not depend on α.

Theorem 2.16 (See [9, Theorems 3.1.4, 7.1.8, 7.2.6, 7.3.2])**.** *Let (X, d) be a pseudometric space. The following are equivalent:*

- d *satisfies the "four point condition": for all $x, y, z, w \in X$,*

$$d(x, y) + d(z, w) \leqslant \max|\{d(x, z) + d(y, w), d(x, w) + d(z, y)\}|$$

- d *is a tree-like metric on X (in the sense of Definition 2.9).*
- d *is a split-decomposable pseudometric associated to a weighted system of compatible splits. Moreover, this system is unique.*

Remark 2.17. Under the equivalence of weighted systems of compatible splits and X-trees splits correspond bijectively to edges in the tree.

2.6. **Arrangements associated to split systems.**

Definition 2.18. Let X be a finite set and consider a split $\sigma = A|B$ of X. To σ we associate the line segment (one-dimensional polytope)

$$S_\sigma := \operatorname{conv}\left\{\frac{|B|}{n}\cdot \mathbb{1}_A - \frac{|A|}{n}\cdot \mathbb{1}_B, \frac{|A|}{n}\cdot \mathbb{1}_B - \frac{|B|}{n}\cdot \mathbb{1}_A\right\} \subseteq V(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^X$$

where

$$\mathbb{1}_A := \sum_{x\in A} \mathbb{1}_x$$

and a hyperplane

$$H_\sigma := (S_\sigma)^\perp.$$

Accordingly, we define the hyperplane arrangement associated to $\mathcal{S}$

$$\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}) := \{H_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

and the corresponding zonotope, defined by the Minkowski sum

$$Z(\mathcal{S}) := \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}} S_\sigma.$$

Remark 2.19. Both the arrangement $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})$ and the Zonotope $Z(\mathcal{S})$ are full-rank, resp. full-dimensional, inside the natural "ambient space" $V(\mathcal{S})$. This means that $r := \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})) = \dim Z(\mathcal{S}) = \dim V(\mathcal{S})$. We can then rewrite Equation (3) as follows.

$$f^{Z(\mathcal{S})}(t) - t^{r+1} = t^r f^{\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})}(\frac{1}{t})$$

Remark 2.20. As was already mentioned in Remark 2.12, the abstract combinatorial object on which our enumerative considerations rest is

$$\mathscr{M}(\mathcal{S}) : \text{ the matroid of } \mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}).$$

We would like to point out that this matroid is defined for *every* system of splits of a finite set. Although at the moment we do not have any enumerative results

beyond compatible split systems, this "matroid stratification" of the space of more general split-decomposable metrics opens many interesting theoretical questions (aside from its potential applications to phylogenetics.)

3. Lipschitz polytopes of compatible systems of splits

3.1. **Lipschitz polytopes of tree-like metrics.**

Lemma 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a pseudometric space, consider any $A \subset X$ and the associated split $\sigma := A|A^c$. Then, for every $\alpha_\sigma > 0$ we have*

$$\mathrm{LIP}(X, d + \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma) \supseteq \mathrm{LIP}(X, d) + \alpha_\sigma S_\sigma$$

Proof. Recall the definition of the Lipschitz-polytope (Equation (1) and consider any

$$y \in \mathrm{LIP}(X, d) + \alpha_\sigma S_\sigma.$$

By definition of the Lipschitz polytope (Equation (1)) we can write

$$y = x + \lambda_{A|A^c} \alpha_{A|A^c} \left(\frac{|A^c|}{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_A - \frac{|A|}{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A^c} \right)$$

for some $x \in \mathrm{LIP}(X, d)$ and $\lambda_{A|A^c} \in [-1, 1]$.
Then of course $\sum y_i = 0$ and, for every $i, j \in X$, we compute

$$y_i - y_j \leqslant x_i - x_j + \alpha_{A|A^c} \delta_{A|A^c}(i, j) \leqslant d(i, j) + \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma(i, j)$$

thus verifying the conditions for $y \in \mathrm{LIP}(X, d + \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma)$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Consider a finite set X, a system $\mathcal{S}$ of splits of X and a nonnegative weighting $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^X_{\geqslant 0}$. Let (X, d_α) be the associated pseudometric space (see Definition 2.15). Then*

$$\mathrm{LIP}(X, d_\alpha) \supseteq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_\sigma S_\sigma.$$

Proof. The claim follows by repeated application of Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a finite pseudometric space and let $A \subseteq X$ be such that $d(i, j) = 0$ for all $i, j \in A$. Write $\sigma := A \mid A^c$. Then, for every $\alpha_\sigma > 0$:*

$$\mathrm{LIP}(X, d + \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma) = \mathrm{LIP}(X, d) + \alpha_\sigma S_\sigma.$$

Proof. The right-to-left containment is a special case of Lemma 3.1. In order to check the left-to-right containment we consider a point $x \in \mathrm{LIP}(X, d + \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma)$ and prove that it is contained in the right-hand side. The definition of the Lipschitz polytope implies immediately that, for all $i, j \in X$

$$x_i - x_j \leqslant d(i, j) + \alpha_\sigma \tag{5}$$

Define

$$\alpha := \max_{i \in A, j \in A^c} \{0, x_i - x_j - d(i, j), x_j - x_i - d(i, j)\}.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, then all defining equations for $\mathrm{LIP}(X, d)$ are satisfied by x, thus $x \in \mathrm{LIP}(X, d)$ and there is nothing to show. Otherwise, choose i_0, j_0 such that $\alpha = x_{i_0} - x_{j_0} - d(i_0, j_0)$. Assume w.l.o.g. $i_0 \in A$ and $j_0 \in A^c$ (otherwise switch A and A^c in the following).

Since now $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha_\sigma$ (the first inequality by definition, the second by (5) above), it is enough to show that

$$y := x - \alpha v_\sigma \in \mathrm{LIP}(X, d)$$

where $v_\sigma := \frac{|A^c|}{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_A - \frac{|A|}{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A^c}$. We will do so by verifying that y satisfies the equations of $\mathrm{LIP}(X, d)$ (Equation 1, noticing immediately that $\sum y_i = 0$. Another immediate observation is that

$$y_i - y_j = x_i - x_j \leqslant d(i,j) + \underbrace{\alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma(i,j)}_{=0} \text{ when } i,j \in A \text{ or } i,j \in A^c. \tag{6}$$

Thus we only have to consider the case $i \in A$, $j \in A^c$. Then,

$$y_j - y_i = x_j - \alpha\frac{|A|}{n} - x_i - \alpha\frac{|A^c|}{n} = x_j - x_i - \alpha \leqslant d(j,i)$$

while

$$y_i - y_j = x_i + \alpha\frac{|A|}{n} - x_j + \alpha\frac{|A^c|}{n} = x_j - x_i + \alpha$$
$$= x_j \underbrace{-x_i + x_{i_0}}_{=0 \text{ by (6)}} - x_{j_0} - d(i_0, j_0) = \underbrace{x_j - x_{j_0}}_{\substack{\leqslant d(j,j_0) \\ \text{by (6)}}} - d(i_0, j_0) \leqslant d(j, i_0) = d(j,i)$$

where we used the triangle inequality for the pseudometric d.

□

Remark 3.4. The decomposition theorem of Bandelt and Dress, [1, Theorem 2] says that any metric (X, d) can be uniquely decomposed into $d = d_0 + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma$, where d_0 is split prime and $\mathcal{S}$ is a (unique) system of splits.[3] In this sense, Lemma 3.3 can be applied to general metrics.

Theorem 3.5. *Let* (X, d) *be a tree-like pseudometric space. Then,*

$$\mathrm{LIP}(X, d) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_\sigma S_\sigma$$

where $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ *is the unique weighted system of compatible splits of* X *such that* $d = d_\alpha$ *(cf. Theorem 2.16).*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the cardinality of $\mathcal{S}$. If $|\mathcal{S}| = 0$ there is nothing to prove.

Let then $|\mathcal{S}| > 0$ and suppose that the theorem holds for all weighted systems of compatible splits of smaller cardinality. By Theorem 2.16 to the space (X, d) is associated a weighted X-tree (T, ϕ) in the sense of Definition 2.9. The corresponding tree metric can be expressed as a split metric with a split for every edge in the tree. The uniqueness part of Bandelt and Dress' decomposition theorem (see Remark 3.4) says that the associated split system must be $\mathcal{S}$. In particular, the tree T has at least one edge and then a basic theorem of graph theory says that this tree must have at least one leaf vertex (i.e., a vertex incident to exactly one edge).

[3] A metric is called "split prime" in [1] if is not further decomposable with respect to split metrics.

Choose then such a leaf vertex, say v, and let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$ be the split corresponding to the unique edge incident to v. Then,

$$\sigma = A \mid A^c \text{ with } A := \phi^{-1}(v).$$

Let $\mathcal{S}' := \mathcal{S} \setminus \{\sigma\}$ and let (X, d') be the pseudometric space defined by $\mathcal{S}'$ and the appropriate restriction of α.

Now notice that $d = d' + \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma$ and that, for all $i, j \in A$, we have $d'(i,j) = 0$. By Lemma 3.3, then,

$$\mathrm{LIP}(X, d) = \mathrm{LIP}(X, d') + \alpha_\sigma S_\sigma$$

and with the inductive hypothesis applied to (X, d') we obtain

$$\mathrm{LIP}(X, d) = \left(\sum_{\sigma' \in \mathcal{S}'} \alpha_{\sigma'} S_{\sigma'} \right) + \alpha_\sigma S_\sigma = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_\sigma S_\sigma$$

as required.

□

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, d) be a tree-like pseudometric space with associated system of compatible splits $\mathcal{S}$. Then the f-vector of the associated Lipschitz polytope can be computed as follows.*

$$f^{\mathrm{LIP}(X,d)}(x) = (-x)^{\mathrm{rk}(\cap \mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}))} M_{\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})}\left(-\frac{1}{x}, -1\right) + x^{\mathrm{rk}(\cap \mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}))+1}$$

If additionally (X, d) is a metric space, then the f-vector of the associated fundamental polytope is

$$f^{P_d(X)}(x) = (-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(\cap \mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}))} M_{\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})}(-x, -1)x + 1$$

Proof. Theorem 3.5 implies that

$$\mathscr{F}(\mathrm{LIP}(X, d)) \simeq \mathscr{F}(Z(\mathcal{S}))$$

with which we can write

$$f^{\mathrm{LIP}(X,d)}(x) = f^{Z(\mathcal{S})}(x) = x^{\mathrm{rk}(\cap \mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}))} f^{\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})}(\frac{1}{x}) + x^{\mathrm{rk}(\cap \mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}))+1}$$

$$= (-x)^{\mathrm{rk}(\cap \mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}))} M_{\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})}(-\frac{1}{x}, -1) + x^{\mathrm{rk}(\cap \mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}))+1}$$

where the second equality follows from Remark 2.19 and the last equality is Theorem 2.10. This proves the first of the claimed equalities. The second follows by the duality relation of Remark 2.7. □

Corollary 3.7. *For any tree-like metric space (X, d)*

$$f_i^{P_d(X)} = f_{i+1}^{\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})} = f_{|X|-1-i}^{\mathrm{LIP}(X,d)}$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ denotes the associated system of (compatible) splits and the index i runs from 0 to $\dim(P_d(X)) = |X| - 1$.

4. Computational aspects and examples

Our previous theoretical considerations have led us to explicit formulas for the f-vectors of the polytopes associated to compatible split systems. The question is now, how practical it is to compute these numbers for specific examples. We will start with two easy cases and then offer a general tool allowing to compute the intersection lattice of the associated hyperplane arrangement, which we'll test on a class of examples.

Example 4.1 (Arrangements of points in $\mathbb{R}^1$). We can represent any point arrangement of n points in $\mathbb{R}^1$ by just taking its metric graph in a line, considering the associated set of splits and choosing the coefficients in the split-metric accordingly. The arrangement corresponds to $(n-1)$ independent vectors in $n-1$-dimensional space, i.e. it is isomorphic to the coordinate arrangement. The corresponding matroid is the uniform matroid $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^{n-1}$ and, in particular, $f_i^{\mathscr{A}} = 2^i\binom{n-1}{i}$.

Example 4.2 (The root polytope of type A_{n-1}). Let us consider a star graph, i.e., a tree with $n > 2$ leaves and a unique internal vertex . If we assign each edge the length $\frac{1}{2}$, we define the structure of a metric space on the set X of leaves of our star graph.

The corresponding split system consists exactly of all the elementary splits, and any two points are at distance 1. Then, by definition, the fundamental polytope of this space is the convex hull of the vectors $e_{i,j} = \mathbb{1}_i - \mathbb{1}_j$, where $i \neq j \in [n]$. This is also called the *root polytope of type* A_{n-1}, and its face numbers have been computed via algebraic-combinatorial considerations by Cellini and Marietti [**?**, Proposition 4.3]. Of course, one could compute these numbers by computing the Möbius function of the corresponding matroid, i.e., the uniform matroid $\mathcal{U}_n^{n-1}$.

4.1. The intersection lattice of $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

Definition 4.3. Let (X, d) be a pseudometric space. The function d induces a partition $\pi(d)$ of the set X given as the set of equivalence classes of the equivalence relation defined by: $i \sim_d j$ if and only if $d(i,j) = 0$. If the space (X, d) arises from a weighted system of splits $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$, the partition $\pi(d)$ does not deped on α and we only write $\pi(\mathcal{S})$.

We have an order preserving map of posets

$$\pi : 2^{\mathcal{S}} \to \Pi_X; \qquad \mathcal{S}' \mapsto \pi(\mathcal{S}')$$

where $2^{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the poset of all subsets of $\mathcal{S}$ ordered by inclusion, and Π_X is the poset of all partitions of X ordered by refinement.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ be an arbitrary weighted system of compatible splits of a finite set X and write $\pi := \pi(\mathcal{S})$. Then,*

$$\cap_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}} H_\sigma = \left\langle e_{i,j} : i \sim_\pi j \right\rangle.$$

Proof. The right-to-left inclusion holds by definition. We will prove the left-to-right inclusion by induction on the cardinality of the system of splits.

If $|\mathcal{S}| = 1$ the claim is evident. Let then $m > 0$, assume that the statement holds for any weighted system of up to m compatible splits and consider a weighted system of splits $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ with $|\mathcal{S}| = m + 1$.

By Theorem 2.16, $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$ can be represented by an X-tree (T, ϕ) with at least one edge, hence with at least one leaf vertex v. In particular, with $A := \phi^{-1}(v)$, we know that $\sigma := A|A^c \in \mathcal{S}$ and we can consider

$$\mathcal{S}' := \mathcal{S} \setminus \{\sigma\}, \qquad \alpha' := \alpha_{|\mathcal{S}'}, \qquad d' := d_{\alpha'}, \qquad \pi' := \pi(\mathcal{S}').$$

The X-tree (T', ϕ') associated to $(\mathcal{S}', \alpha')$ must have a vertex v' with $\phi'(A) = v'$ (otherwise there would be $i, j \in A$ with $d_\alpha(i,j) \geqslant d'_\alpha(i,j) > 0$).

In a neighborhood of v', the X-trees associated to $(\mathcal{S}', \alpha')$, resp. $(\mathcal{S}, \alpha)$, differ as in Figure 1. In particular,

$$(*) \qquad \pi = \{A, C, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k\}; \qquad \pi' = \{A \sqcup C, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k\}$$

(here and in the following we think of a partition as a set of blocks)



FIGURE 1. The neighborhood of the vertex v' in the X-tree T' (left-hand side) and T (right-hand side).

Let

$$v_\sigma := \tfrac{|A|}{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A^c} - \tfrac{|A^c|}{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_A$$

so that $(v_\sigma)^\perp = H_\sigma$. By induction hypothesis

$$\bigcap_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}} H_\tau = \bigcap_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}'} H_\tau \cap H_\sigma = \langle e_{i,j} : i \sim_{\pi'} j \rangle \cap (v_\sigma)^\perp \tag{7}$$

In view of $(*)$, the subspace $\langle e_{i,j} : i \sim_{\pi'} j \rangle$ decomposes as

$$\bigoplus_{b \in \pi'} \langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in b \rangle = \langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in A \sqcup C \rangle \oplus \underbrace{\bigoplus_{b \in \pi' \setminus \{A \sqcup C\}} \langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in b \rangle}_{=:W}$$

A straightforward check shows that $W \subseteq (v_\sigma)^\perp$. Therefore, the intersection of this direct sum with $(v_\sigma)^\perp$ equals $\big(\langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in A \sqcup C \rangle \cap (v_\sigma)^\perp\big) \oplus W$.

On the other hand,

$$\langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in A \sqcup C \rangle \cap (v_\sigma)^\perp = \langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in A \rangle \oplus \langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in C \rangle$$

Thus, we can rewrite the right-hand side of Equation (7) as

$$\left\langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in A \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle e_{i,j} \mid i,j \in C \right\rangle \oplus W$$

and in particular, recalling the block structure of π from $(*)$,

$$\langle e_{i,j} \mid i \sim_{\pi'} j \rangle \cap (v_\sigma)^\perp = \langle e_{i,j} \mid i \sim_\pi j \rangle$$

which, together with Equation (7), concludes the proof.

□

Corollary 4.5. *There is a poset isomorphism*

$$\mathscr{L}(\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})) \simeq \operatorname{im} \pi$$

where the right-hand is considered as an induced sub-poset of Π_X.

More precisely, if we identify the set of elements of the matroid of $\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S})$ *with* $\mathcal{S}$ *itself, we can write the closure operator of the matroid as*

$$\operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{S}') = \{\mathcal{S}'' \subseteq \mathcal{S} \mid \pi(\mathcal{S}'') = \pi(\mathcal{S}')\}.$$

Example 4.6 (Full trees). If (X, d) is a "full tree" (in the sense of Definition 2.9), then it can be represented by an X-tree where each vertex is labeled by exactly one point of X. Therefore it is apparent that π is injective, and thus the poset $\mathcal{L}(\mathscr{A}(\mathcal{S}))$ is boolean. Immediately we recover

$$f_i^{P_d(X)} = 2^i \binom{n-1}{i},$$

generalizing, as expected, Example 4.1.

4.2. **Caterpillar graphs.** As a further motivation for the usefulness of the matroidal point of view, let us consider the tree metrics whose underlying X-tree is a caterpillar graph (see Figure 2) with every leaf labelled by exactly one point of X, and no internal vertices labelled.



FIGURE 2. The n-caterpillar graph

If we consider some $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, we see that the intersection $\bigcap_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'} H_\sigma$ is contained in H_τ if and only if there are $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that σ_1, σ_2, τ correspond to three edges incident to the same (inner) vertex.

The same rule describes the closure of the set $\mathcal{S}'$ in the matroid $\mathscr{M}(\mathcal{S})$ which, thus, is easily seen as being isomorphic to the graphic ("cycle-") matroid of the graph $\mathcal{G}_n$ depicted in Figure 3.

We have found no way to express the Möbius polynomial of this matroid in a compact form. Table 1 shows the f-polynomials of the fundamental polytopes



FIGURE 3. The graph $\mathcal{G}_n$

Metric space	f–polynomial of $P_d(X)$
3-caterpillar	$t^3 + 6t^2 + 6t + 1$
4-caterpillar	$t^4 + 12t^3 + 28t^2 + 18t + 1$
5-caterpillar	$t^5 + 20t^4 + 80t^3 + 114t^2 + 54t + 1$
6-caterpillar	$t^6 + 30t^5 + 180t^4 + 422t^3 + 432t^2 + 162t + 1$

TABLE 1.

of these metric spaces for the first few values of n, as computed with SAGE. It took around 10 seconds to compute the f-polynomial of the biggest example, the 6-caterpillar graph on the sage cloud (run on a free server).

There is, however, an easy way to compute the number of vertices of $\mathrm{LIP}(X, d)$ (which equals the number of facets of $P_d(X)$). In fact, by Zaslavsky's result, both these numbers equal

$$(-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(\mathscr{M})}\chi_{\mathscr{M}(\mathcal{S})}(-1)$$

and we know that the rank of a graphic matroid is the cardinality of any spanning forest in the graph. In our case, every spanning tree of $\mathcal{G}_n$ has cardinality $n-1$. Moreover, the characteristic polynomial of $\mathscr{M}(\mathcal{S})$ can be computed as

$$\chi_{\mathscr{M}(\mathcal{S})}(t) = \frac{1}{t}\,\mathrm{chr}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(t)$$

where the polynomial on the right-hand side is the chromatic polynomial of $\mathcal{G}_n$. Now, the latter polynomial can be computed easily by looking at the graph and remembering that for every integer k the value $\mathrm{chr}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(k)$ is the number of k-colorings of $\mathcal{G}_n$. This gives immediately

$$\mathrm{chr}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(t) = t(t-1)(t-2)^{n-2} \qquad \text{for } n \geqslant 3$$

(for $n < 3$ we are in the case of Example 4.1).

Thus we obtain that, if (X, d) is any metric space on $n \geqslant 3$ elements representable as leafs of a metric n-caterpillar tree,

$$\text{number of vertices of } \mathrm{LIP}(X, d) = (-1)^{n-1}(-2)(-3)^{n-2} = 2 \cdot 3^{n-2}.$$

References

[1] H.-J. Bandelt and A. W. M. Dress. A canonical decomposition theory for metrics on a finite set. *Adv. Math.*, 92(1):47–105, 1992.

[2] Peter Buneman. A note on the metric properties of trees. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 17:48–50, 1974.

[3] R. Diestel. *Graph theory*, volume 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, fourth edition, 2010.

[4] A. W. M. Dress, K. T. Huber, and V. Moulton. Metric spaces in pure and applied mathematics. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Quadratic Forms and Related Topics (Baton Rouge, LA, 2001)*, number Extra Vol., pages 121–139, 2001.

[5] J. Gordon and F. Petrov. Combinatorics of the Lipschitz polytope. *arxiv*, 2016.

[6] D.H. Huson and D. Bryant. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 23(2):254–267, 2006.

[7] J. Melleray, F. Petrov, and A. Vershik. Linearly rigid metric spaces and the embedding problem. *Fund. Math. 199,no.2 177-194*, 2008.

[8] J. Oxley. *Matroid theory*, volume 21 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, second edition, 2011.

[9] C. Semple and M. Steel. Phylogenetics. *Oxford University Press*, 2003.

[10] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Volume 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2012.

[11] A. M. Vershik. Classification of finite metric spaces and combinatorics of convex polytopes. *Arnold Math. J.*, 1(1):75–81, 2015.

[12] Yaokun Wu, Zeying Xu, and Yinfeng Zhu. Average range of Lipschitz functions on trees. *Mosc. J. Comb. Number Theory*, 6(1):96–116, 2016.

[13] T. Zaslavsky. *Facing up to arrangements: face-count formulas for partitions of space by hyperplanes*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1974. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

[14] G. M. Ziegler. *Lectures on polytopes*, volume 152 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

(Emanuele Delucchi) Department of Mathematics, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 23, CH-1700, Fribourg, CH.

E-mail address: emanuele.delucchi@unifr.ch

(Linard Hoessly) Department of Mathematics, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 23, CH-1700, Fribourg, CH.

E-mail address: linard.hoessly@unifr.ch